

Representations for weighted Moore-Penrose inverses of partitioned adjointable operators

Qingxiang Xu^{*} Yonghao Chen[†] Chuanning Song[‡]

Abstract

For two positive definite adjointable operators M and N , and an adjointable operator A acting on a Hilbert C^* -module, some properties of the weighted Moore-Penrose inverse A_{MN}^\dagger are established. If $A = (A_{ij})$ is 1×2 or 2×2 partitioned, then general representations for A_{MN}^\dagger in terms of the individual blocks of A_{ij} are studied. In the case when A is 1×2 partitioned, a unified representation for A_{MN}^\dagger is presented. In the 2×2 partitioned case, an approach to the construction of the Moore-Penrose inverse from the non-weighted case to the weighted case is provided. Some results known for matrices are extended to the general setting of operators on Hilbert C^* -modules.

AMS classification: 15A09; 46L08

Keywords: Hilbert C^* -module; Weighted Moore-Penrose inverse; Partitioned operator

Introduction

The weighted Moore-Penrose inverse of an arbitrary (singular and rectangular) matrix has many applications in the weighted linear least-squares problems, statistics, neural network, numerical analysis and so on. For a partitioned matrix $A = (A_{ij})$, it has been of interest to derive general expressions for the weighted Moore-Penrose inverse of A in terms of the individual blocks of A_{ij} . If $A = (A_{11}, A_{12})$ is a 1×2 partitioned matrix, then some formulas for the (non-weighted) Moore-Penrose inverse A^\dagger , such as Cline [2] and Mihalyffy [8] are well-known. In the weighted case, a formula for A_{MN}^\dagger of a 1×2 partitioned matrix A was given by Miao [6]. Later, this formula was reproved by Chen [1], Wang and Zheng [10] by using different methods. Recently, another formula for A_{MN}^\dagger has been obtained by the first author [11]. In this paper, in the general context of Hilbert C^* -module operators, we will provide a unified representation for A_{MN}^\dagger (see Theorem 3.4 below). As a result, the equivalence of the formulas for A_{MN}^\dagger given respectively in [6] and [11] is derived.

^{*}Corresponding author. Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, P.R. China, and School of Science, Shanghai Institute of Technology, Shanghai, 201418, P.R. China (qingxiang_xu@126.com, qxxu@shnu.edu.cn). Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 11171222, and the Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission under grant 12ZZ129.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, P.R. China (chenyonghao6232@163.com).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, P.R. China (songning1962@163.com, songning@shnu.edu.cn).

If $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is a 2×2 partitioned matrix, then things may become much more complicated. Most works in literature concerning representations for A^\dagger were carried out under certain restrictions on the blocks of A_{ij} . In 1991, a general expression for A^\dagger without any restriction imposed on the blocks of A_{ij} , was given by Miao in [7]. Since then, more than twenty years has passed. However, due to the complexity revealed in [3, 5, 7], there has not been much progress concerning the generalization of Miao's result [7] from the non-weighted case to the weighted case. In this paper, we make such an effort in the general setting of Hilbert C^* -module operators.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, in the general setting of Hilbert C^* -module operators, we will establish some properties on weighted Moore-Penrose inverses. Following the line initiated in [12], in Section 2 we will study the relationship between weighted Moore-Penrose inverses A_{MN}^\dagger , where A is fixed, while M and N are variable. In Section 3 we will study unified representations for weighted Moore-Penrose inverses of 1×2 partitioned adjointable operators. In Section 4, an approach, initiated in [11] for 1×2 partitioned adjointable operators, is applied to study the general expressions for weighted Moore-Penrose inverses of 2×2 partitioned adjointable operators. Our key point is the construction of a commutative diagram in page 16, through which the main results of [7] are generalized from the non-weighted case to the weighted case.

1 Weighted Moore-Penrose inverses of adjointable operators

In this section, in a general setting of adjointable operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, we establish some properties on weighted Moore-Penrose inverses, most of which are known for matrices. Throughout this paper, \mathfrak{A} is a C^* -algebra, \mathbb{C} is the complex field, and $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is the set of $m \times n$ complex matrices. By a projection, we mean an idempotent and a self-adjoint element in a certain C^* -algebra. For any Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules H and K , let $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be the set of *adjointable* operators from H to K . If $H = K$, then $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$, which we abbreviate to $\mathcal{L}(H)$, is a unital C^* -algebra, whose unit is denoted by I_H . For any $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, the range and the null space of A are denoted by $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A)$, respectively.

Throughout, the notations of “ \oplus ” and “ $\dot{+}$ ” are used with different meanings. For any Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules H_1 and H_2 , let

$$H_1 \oplus H_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid h_i \in H_i, i = 1, 2 \right\},$$

which is also a Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module whose \mathfrak{A} -valued inner product is given by

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle + \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle, \text{ for any } x_i \in H_1 \text{ and } y_i \in H_2, i = 1, 2.$$

If both H_1 and H_2 are submodules of a Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module H such that $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{0\}$, then we define

$$H_1 \dot{+} H_2 = \{h_1 + h_2 \mid h_i \in H_i, i = 1, 2\} \subseteq H.$$

If furthermore $H = H_1 \dot{+} H_2$, then we call P_{H_1, H_2} the *oblique projector* along H_2 onto H_1 , where P_{H_1, H_2} is defined by

$$P_{H_1, H_2}(h) = h_1, \text{ for any } h = h_1 + h_2 \in H \text{ with } h_i \in H_i, i = 1, 2.$$

Lemma 1.1. (cf. [4, Theorem 3.2] and [13, Remark 1.1]) *Let H, K be two Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules and $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$. Then the closeness of any one of the following sets implies the closeness of the remaining three sets:*

$$\mathcal{R}(A), \mathcal{R}(A^*), \mathcal{R}(AA^*), \mathcal{R}(A^*A).$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed, then $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(AA^)$, $\mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A^*A)$ and with respect to the \mathfrak{A} -valued inner product, the following orthogonal decompositions hold:*

$$H = \mathcal{N}(A) \dot{+} \mathcal{R}(A^*), \quad K = \mathcal{R}(A) \dot{+} \mathcal{N}(A^*). \quad (1.1)$$

Throughout the rest of this section, H, K and L are three Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules.

Definition 1.1. An element M of $\mathcal{L}(K)$ is said to be *positive definite*, if M is positive and invertible in $\mathcal{L}(K)$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $M \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ be positive definite. Then with the inner-product given by*

$$\langle x, y \rangle_M = \langle x, My \rangle, \text{ for any } x, y \in K, \quad (1.2)$$

K also becomes a Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module.

Proof. With respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M$, K is clearly an inner-product \mathfrak{A} -module [4, P. 2]. We prove that K is complete with respect to the norm induced by

$$\|x\|_M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\langle x, x \rangle_M\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|M^{\frac{1}{2}}x\|, \text{ for any } x \in K. \quad (1.3)$$

In fact, if we let $C_1 = \|M^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|^{-1} > 0$ and $C_2 = \|M^{\frac{1}{2}}\| > 0$, then by (1.3) we can get

$$C_1 \|x\| \leq \|x\|_M \leq C_2 \|x\|, \text{ for any } x \in K,$$

which means that $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_M$ are equivalent norms on K . Since K is assumed to be complete with respect to the original norm $\|\cdot\|$, the completeness of K with respect to the induced norm $\|\cdot\|_M$ follows. \square

Remark 1.1. We use the notation K_M to denote the Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module with the inner-product given by (1.2), and call K_M the *weighted space* (with respect to M). Following the notation, for any positive definite element N of $\mathcal{L}(H)$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, $x \in H$ and $y \in K$, we have

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_M = \langle Tx, My \rangle = \langle x, T^*My \rangle = \langle x, N^{-1}T^*My \rangle_N.$$

So if we regard T as an element of $\mathcal{L}(H_N, K_M)^1$, then

$$T^\# = N^{-1}T^*M, \quad (1.4)$$

where $T^\# \in \mathcal{L}(K_M, H_N)$ is the adjoint operator of $T \in \mathcal{L}(H_N, K_M)$.

¹ The reader should be aware that as sets, $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $\mathcal{L}(H_N, K_M)$ are the same.

Definition 1.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be arbitrary, and let $M \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ and $N \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be two positive definite operators. The *weighted Moore-Penrose inverse* A_{MN}^\dagger (if it exists) is the element X of $\mathcal{L}(K, H)$, which satisfies

$$AXA = A, XAX = X, (MAX)^* = MAX \text{ and } (NXA)^* = NXA. \quad (1.5)$$

If $M = I_K$ and $N = I_H$, then A_{MN}^\dagger is denoted simply by A^\dagger , which is called the *Moore-Penrose inverse* of A .

Theorem 1.3. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ be arbitrary, and let $M \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ and $N \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be two positive definite operators. Then A_{MN}^\dagger exists if and only if A has a closed range.

Proof. If A_{MN}^\dagger exists, then AA_{MN}^\dagger is an idempotent, so $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(AA_{MN}^\dagger)$ is closed. Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed in K , then $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is also closed in K_M , so by [13, Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.4] there exists uniquely an element $X \in \mathcal{L}(K_M, H_N)$ satisfying

$$AXA = A, XAX = X, (AX)^\# = AX \text{ and } (XA)^\# = XA.$$

By (1.4) we get $(AX)^\# = M^{-1}(AX)^*M$ and $(XA)^\# = N^{-1}(XA)^*N$. As M and N are self-adjoint, the last two equalities in (1.5) hold. \square

Remark 1.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ have a closed range, and let $M \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, $N \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be positive definite. As in the finite-dimensional case [9, Theorem 1.4.4], by [13, Theorem 2.2] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(A_{MN}^\dagger) &= \mathcal{R}(A^\#) = \mathcal{R}(N^{-1}A^*M) = N^{-1}\mathcal{R}(A^*), \\ \mathcal{N}(A_{MN}^\dagger) &= \mathcal{N}(A^\#) = \mathcal{N}(N^{-1}A^*M) = M^{-1}\mathcal{N}(A^*). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.4. (cf. [1, Lemma 0.1]) Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ have a closed range, and let $M \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ and $N \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be positive definite. Then A_{MN}^\dagger is the unique element X of $\mathcal{L}(K, H)$ which satisfies

$$A^*MAX = A^*M, \mathcal{R}(NX) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^*). \quad (1.6)$$

Proof. By [13, Proposition 2.4] we know that A_{MN}^\dagger is the unique element X of $\mathcal{L}(K_M, H_N)$ which satisfies

$$AX = AA_{MN}^\dagger \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^\#). \quad (1.7)$$

In view of (1.4), we know that (1.6) can be rewritten as

$$A^\#AX = A^\#, \mathcal{R}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^\#). \quad (1.8)$$

Since $A^\#AA_{MN}^\dagger = A^\#$ and $(A_{MN}^\dagger)^\#A^\#AX = (AA_{MN}^\dagger)^\#AX = AA_{MN}^\dagger AX = AX$, the equivalence of (1.7) and (1.8) follows. \square

Lemma 1.5. (cf. [1, Lemma 0.3]) Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ have a closed range, and let $M \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ be positive definite. Then for any $X \in \mathcal{L}(K, H)$, the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i) $AXA = A, (MAX)^* = MAX;$

(ii) $A^*MAX = A^*M$.

If condition (i) is satisfied, then for any positive definite element $N \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, X has the form

$$X = A_{MN}^\dagger + (I_H - A_{MN}^\dagger A)Y, \text{ for some } Y \in \mathcal{L}(K, H). \quad (1.9)$$

Proof. (1) Let N be any positive definite element of $\mathcal{L}(H)$. By (1.4) we know that conditions (i) and (ii) can be rephrased respectively as

$$AXA = A, (AX)^\# = AX, \quad (1.10)$$

$$A^\#AX = A^\#. \quad (1.11)$$

Suppose that (1.10) is satisfied. Then

$$A^\#AX = A^\#(AX)^\# = (AXA)^\# = A^\#.$$

Conversely, if (1.11) is satisfied, then it is easy to show that $(AXA - A)^\#(AXA - A) = 0$, so $AXA = A$. Furthermore,

$$(AX)^\# = X^\#A^\# = X^\#A^\#AX = (A^\#AX)^\#X = (A^\#)^\#X = AX.$$

(2) Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{L}(K, H)$ is given such that (1.11) is satisfied. Then

$$A^\#A(X - A_{MN}^\dagger) = A^\# - A^\# = 0 \implies (A(X - A_{MN}^\dagger))^\#A(X - A_{MN}^\dagger) = 0,$$

so $A(X - A_{MN}^\dagger) = 0$; or equivalently, $A_{MN}^\dagger A(X - A_{MN}^\dagger) = 0$, hence there exists $Y \in \mathcal{L}(K, H)$ such that $X - A_{MN}^\dagger = (I_H - A_{MN}^\dagger A)Y$. \square

Definition 1.3. An element X of $\mathcal{L}(K, H)$ is said to be a $(1, 3)$ -inverse of $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, written $X \in A\{1, 3\}$, if $AXA = A$ and $(AX)^* = AX$.

Proposition 1.6. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ have a closed range. Then for any $X \in (AA^*)\{1, 3\}$, we have $A^\dagger = A^*X$.

Proof. Put $Y = A^*X$. By (1.6) it is sufficient to verify that

$$A^*AY = A^*, \mathcal{R}(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^*).$$

The second condition is obviously satisfied. Replacing A, M with AA^* and I_K respectively, by “(i) \implies (ii)” in Lemma 1.5 we obtain $AA^*AA^*X = AA^*$, therefore

$$A^*AY = A^*AA^*X = A^\dagger(AA^*AA^*X) = A^\dagger AA^* = A^*. \quad \square$$

2 Relationship between weighted Moore-Penrose inverses

Throughout this section, H and K are two Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules, $M \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ and $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ are three positive definite operators. The purpose of this section is to generalize [12, Lemma 2.4] from the finite-dimensional case to the Hilbert C^* -module case. For any $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, if $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed, then as in [12] we define

$$\begin{aligned} R_{M;N_1,N_2} &= I_H + (I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}(N_2 - N_1) \\ &= A_{MN_1}^\dagger A + (I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}N_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ have a closed range. The operator $R_{M;N_1,N_2}$ defined by (2.1) is invertible.*

Proof. Let $P = A_{MN_1}^\dagger A$, $S = (I_H - P)N_1^{-1}N_2(I_H - P)$, $H_1 = (I_H - P)H$ and $S|_{H_1} : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ be the restriction of S to H_1 .

First, we prove that $S|_{H_1} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1)$ is invertible. By the last condition in (1.5) we get

$$N_1 S = (I_H - P)^* N_2 (I_H - P) = (N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_H - P))^* (N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_H - P)). \quad (2.2)$$

As P is idempotent, we have $\mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(N_1 S) = \mathcal{N}(I_H - P) = \mathcal{R}(P)$, which means that $\mathcal{N}(S|_{H_1}) = \mathcal{R}(P) \cap H_1 = \{0\}$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{R}((N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(I_H - P)))$ is closed, we may apply Lemma 1.1 to (2.2) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(S|_{H_1}) &= \mathcal{R}(S) = N_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}(N_1 S) = N_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}((I_H - P)^* N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \mathcal{R}(N_1^{-1}(I_H - P)^*) = \mathcal{R}((I_H - P)N_1^{-1}) = \mathcal{R}(I_H - P) = H_1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the invertibility of $S|_{H_1}$.

Next, let

$$Y = P + (S|_{H_1})^{-1}(I_H - P) - (S|_{H_1})^{-1}(I_H - P)N_1^{-1}N_2P.$$

Then since $R_{M;N_1,N_2} = P + (I_H - P)N_1^{-1}N_2P + S$, it is easy to verify that $R_{M;N_1,N_2}Y = YR_{M;N_1,N_2} = I_H$. \square

Lemma 2.2. (cf. [12, Lemma 2.4]) *Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ has a closed range. Then $A_{MN_2}^\dagger = R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1}A_{MN_1}^\dagger$, where $R_{M;N_1,N_2}$ is defined by (2.1).*

Proof. Let $A^\# = N_1^{-1}A^*M \in \mathcal{L}(K_M, H_{N_1})$ be the conjugate operator of $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_{N_1}, K_M)$. To simplify the notation, we define

$$X = R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot (I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}N_2. \quad (2.3)$$

Then

$$(I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}A^* = (I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)A^\#M^{-1} = 0,$$

so by (2.3) we have

$$XN_2^{-1}\mathcal{R}(A^*) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $A_{MN_1}^\dagger A(I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A) = 0$, by (2.1) and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A) &= (R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot A_{MN_1}^\dagger A + X)(I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A) \\ &= R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot (A_{MN_1}^\dagger A + (I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}N_2)(I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A) \\ &= R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot R_{M;N_1,N_2} \cdot (I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A) = I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

As $I_H - A_{MN_2}^\dagger A$ is the oblique projector of H along $N_2^{-1}\mathcal{R}(A^*)$ onto $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{R}(I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)$, in view of (2.4) and (2.5) we conclude that $I_H - A_{MN_2}^\dagger A = X$. Furthermore, by (2.3) and (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_H - A_{MN_2}^\dagger A &= X = R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot (I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}N_2 \\ &= R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot (R_{M;N_1,N_2} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A) = I_H - R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot A_{MN_1}^\dagger A. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

It follows that

$$A_{MN_2}^\dagger A = R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot A_{MN_1}^\dagger A. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that $AA_{MN_1}^\dagger = AA_{MN_2}^\dagger$ is the oblique projector of K along $M^{-1}\mathcal{N}(A^*)$ onto $\mathcal{R}(A)$, so if we multiply $A_{MN_1}^\dagger$ from the right on both sides of (2.7), then we may obtain

$$A_{MN_2}^\dagger = A_{MN_2}^\dagger AA_{MN_2}^\dagger = A_{MN_2}^\dagger AA_{MN_1}^\dagger = R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot A_{MN_1}^\dagger. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.1. With the notation of Lemma 2.2, by (2.6) we obtain

$$(I_H - A_{MN_2}^\dagger A)N_2^{-1} = R_{M;N_1,N_2}^{-1} \cdot (I_H - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}. \quad (2.8)$$

3 Unified representations for weighted Moore-Penrose inverses of 1×2 partitioned operators

Throughout this section, H_1, H_2 and H_3 are three Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules, $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_3)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_3)$ are arbitrary, $M \in \mathcal{L}(H_3)$ and

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & L \\ L^* & N_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1 \oplus H_2) \quad (3.1)$$

are two positive definite operators, where $N_1 \in \mathcal{L}(H_1)$, $L \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_1)$ and $N_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H_2)$. By [11, Section 5] we know that both N_1 and $S(N)$ are positive definite, where $S(N)$ is the Schur complement of N defined by

$$S(N) = N_2 - L^* N_1^{-1} L.$$

When A has a closed range, we put

$$C = (I_{H_3} - AA_{MN_1}^\dagger) B \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_3). \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_3)$ have a closed range. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{R}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A^* \\ C^* \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \mathcal{R}(A^*) \oplus \mathcal{R}(C^*)$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{N}((I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1})$.

Proof. (i) For any $\xi, \eta \in H_3$, let $\zeta = (AA_{MN_1}^\dagger)^* \xi + (I_{H_3} - AA_{MN_1}^\dagger)^* \eta$. Then $A^* \zeta = A^* \xi$ and $C^* \zeta = C^* \eta$, so $\begin{pmatrix} A^* \xi \\ C^* \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} \zeta \in \mathcal{R}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A^* \\ C^* \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

(ii) As $AA_{MN_1}^\dagger A = A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(A^*) &= \mathcal{R}((A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)^*) = \mathcal{N}(I_{H_1} - (A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)^*) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(N_1(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}) = \mathcal{N}((I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Although the technique lemma in [1] ([1, Lemma 0.2]) is no longer true in the infinite-dimensional case, we can still provide a formula for $(A, C)_{MN}^\dagger$ by following the line in [1] together with some modifications.

Theorem 3.2. (cf. [1, Theorem 1.1]) *Let C be defined by (3.2), and suppose that $\mathcal{R}(A)$, $\mathcal{R}(C)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A, C)$ are all closed. Then*

$$(A, C)_{MN}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A_{MN_1}^\dagger - (I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}LU \\ U \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$S = N_2 - L^*(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}L = S(N) + L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}L \in \mathcal{L}(H_2), \quad (3.4)$$

$$U = C_{MS}^\dagger - (I_{H_2} - C_{MS}^\dagger C)S^{-1}L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger \in \mathcal{L}(H_3, H_2). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Note that $A_{MN_1}^\dagger A$ is a projection on the weighted space $(H_1)_{N_1}$, so for any $\xi \in H_2$, we have

$$\langle L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}L\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle (A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)(N_1^{-1}L\xi), N_1^{-1}L\xi \rangle_{N_1} \geq 0,$$

hence $L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}L$ is positive [4, Lemma 4.1], which means that the operator S defined by (3.4) is positive definite. Note also that

$$C^*MA = B^*(I_{H_3} - AA_{MN_1}^\dagger)^*MA = B^*M(I_{H_3} - AA_{MN_1}^\dagger)A = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Now let N_3 be any positive definite element of $\mathcal{L}(H_2)$. For any $X_1 \in \mathcal{L}(H_3, H_1)$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H_3, H_2)$, by Proposition 1.4 we know that $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = (A, C)_{MN}^\dagger$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} M(A, C) \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix} M, \quad R\left(N \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \subseteq R\begin{pmatrix} A^* \\ C^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

Combining the above two conditions with (3.6), we may apply Lemma 3.1 to conclude that $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = (A, C)_{MN}^\dagger$ if and only if the following four equations hold:

$$A^*MAX_1 = A^*M; \quad (3.8)$$

$$C^*MCX_2 = C^*M; \quad (3.9)$$

$$(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}(N_1X_1 + LX_2) = 0; \quad (3.10)$$

$$(I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}(L^*X_1 + N_2X_2) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

By (1.9) we have

$$X_1 = A_{MN_1}^\dagger + (I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)Y_1, \quad (3.12)$$

$$X_2 = C_{MN_3}^\dagger + (I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)Y_2, \quad (3.13)$$

for some $Y_1 \in \mathcal{L}(H_3, H_1)$ and $Y_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H_3, H_2)$. It follows from (3.10) and (3.12) that

$$(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)Y_1 + (I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}LX_2 = 0.$$

Combining the above equality with (3.12) we get

$$X_1 = A_{MN_1}^\dagger - (I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}LX_2. \quad (3.14)$$

It follows from (3.11), (3.14) and (3.4) that

$$(I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger + (I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}SX_2 = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

By (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}SX_2 &= (I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}SC_{MN_3}^\dagger \\ &\quad + (I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}S(I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)Y_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

So, if we let $N_3 = S$, then by the above equality we get

$$(I_{H_2} - C_{MS}^\dagger C)X_2 = (I_{H_2} - C_{MS}^\dagger C)Y_2. \quad (3.17)$$

The expression for U given by (3.5) follows from (3.13), (3.17) and (3.15) by letting $N_3 = S$. The conclusion then follows from (3.14). \square

Theorem 3.3 below was proved in [1, 6, 10] for matrices by using different methods. In the context of Hilbert C^* -module operators, we can give a general proof as follows:

Theorem 3.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.2 we have*

$$(A, B)_{MN}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A_{MN_1}^\dagger - \left(D + (I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}L \right) \tilde{U} \\ \tilde{U} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$D = A_{MN_1}^\dagger B \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_1), \quad (3.19)$$

$$\tilde{S} = N_2 - L^*(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}L + D^*N_1D - D^*L - L^*D \in \mathcal{L}(H_2), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\tilde{U} = C_{M\tilde{S}}^\dagger + (I_{H_2} - C_{M\tilde{S}}^\dagger C)(\tilde{S})^{-1}(D^*N_1 - L^*)A_{MN_1}^\dagger \in \mathcal{L}(H_3, H_2). \quad (3.21)$$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} I_{H_1} & -D \\ 0 & I_{H_2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1 \oplus H_2)$. Then T is invertible with $T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{H_1} & D \\ 0 & I_{H_2} \end{pmatrix}$.

In view of (3.2) and (3.19), we have

$$(A, B)T = (A, C), \quad (3.22)$$

which means that $\mathcal{R}(A, B) = \mathcal{R}(A, C)$ is closed, so $(A, B)_{MN}^\dagger$ exists. Furthermore, by (1.6) and (3.22) we know that $(A, B)_{MN}^\dagger$ is the unique solution $\tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}_1 \\ \tilde{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H_3, H_1 \oplus H_2)$ to the equation

$$(A, C)^*M(A, C)T^{-1}\tilde{X} = (A, C)^*M, \quad (3.23)$$

$$R(T^*NT \cdot T^{-1}\tilde{X}) \subseteq R((A, C)^*). \quad (3.24)$$

It follows from (1.6) that $T^{-1}\tilde{X} = (A, C)_{M\tilde{N}}^\dagger$, where

$$\tilde{N} = T^*NT = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 & L - N_1D \\ L^* - D^*N_1 & N_2 - D^*L - L^*D + D^*N_1D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.25)$$

By the definition of D we get $(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)D = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D^*N_1(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1} &= B^*(A_{MN_1}^\dagger)^*N_1(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1} \\ &= B^*(A_{MN_1}^\dagger)^*(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.4), if we replace N_2, L with $N_2 - D^*L - L^*D + D^*N_1D$ and $L - N_1D$ respectively, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S} &= (N_2 - D^*L - L^*D + D^*N_1D) - (L - N_1D)^*(I - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}(L - N_1D) \\ &= N_2 - L^*(I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}L + D^*N_1D - D^*L - L^*D, \end{aligned}$$

then by Theorem 3.2 we conclude that $T^{-1}\tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with

$$V_2 = C_{M\tilde{S}}^\dagger - (I_{H_2} - C_{M\tilde{S}}^\dagger C)(\tilde{S})^{-1}(L - N_1D)^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= A_{MN_1}^\dagger - (I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}(L - N_1D)V_2 \\ &= A_{MN_1}^\dagger - (I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}LV_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

As $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}_1 \\ \tilde{X}_2 \end{pmatrix} = T\begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 - DV_2 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix}$, (3.21) and (3.18) then follow from (3.26) and (3.27). \square

Now we are ready to give a unified representation for $(A, B)_{MN}^\dagger$ in terms of $C_{MN_3}^\dagger$, where $N_3 \in \mathcal{L}(H_2)$ can be an arbitrary positive definite operator.

Theorem 3.4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.2 we have*

$$(A, B)_{MN}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A_{MN_1}^\dagger - (D + (I_{H_1} - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}L)V \\ V \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.28)$$

where $N_3 \in \mathcal{L}(H_2)$ is arbitrary positive definite, D and \tilde{S} are defined by (3.19) and (3.20) respectively, and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{M;N_3,\tilde{S}} &= I_{H_2} + (I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}(\tilde{S} - N_3), \\ V &= R_{M;N_3,\tilde{S}}^{-1} \left(C_{MN_3}^\dagger + (I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}(D^*N_1 - L^*)A_{MN_1}^\dagger \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we have $C_{M\tilde{S}}^\dagger = R_{M;N_3,\tilde{S}}^{-1} C_{MN_3}^\dagger$. Furthermore, by (2.8) we can get

$$(I_{H_2} - C_{M\tilde{S}}^\dagger C)\tilde{S}^{-1} = R_{M;N_3,\tilde{S}}^{-1} \cdot (I_{H_2} - C_{MN_3}^\dagger C)N_3^{-1}.$$

The conclusion then follows from (3.18) and (3.21). \square

In the special case of the preceding theorem where $N_3 = S(N)$, we regain the main technique result of [11] as follows:

Theorem 3.5. (cf. [11, Theorem 5.1]) *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.2 we have*

$$(A, B)_{MN}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} A_{MN_1}^\dagger - (\Sigma + N_1^{-1}L)\Omega \\ \Omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.30)$$

where C and D are defined by (3.2) and (3.19) respectively, and

$$\Sigma = A_{MN_1}^\dagger (B - AN_1^{-1}L) = D - A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}L, \quad (3.31)$$

$$Y = (I - C_{MS(N)}^\dagger C)S(N)^{-1}, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\Omega = (I + Y\Sigma^*N_1\Sigma)^{-1}(Y\Sigma^*N_1 \cdot A_{MN_1}^\dagger + C_{MS(N)}^\dagger). \quad (3.33)$$

Proof. Let \tilde{S} be given by (3.20) and define

$$\Delta = \tilde{S} - S(N) = L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}L + D^*N_1D - D^*L - L^*D. \quad (3.34)$$

By definition we have

$$\Sigma^* = D^* - L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}, \text{ so } \Sigma^*N_1 = D^*N_1 - L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger A. \quad (3.35)$$

It follows that $\Sigma^*N_1A_{MN_1}^\dagger = D^*N_1A_{MN_1}^\dagger - L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger$. Therefore,

$$(I - C_{MS(N)}^\dagger C)S(N)^{-1}(D^*N_1 - L^*)A_{MN_1}^\dagger = Y\Sigma^*N_1A_{MN_1}^\dagger. \quad (3.36)$$

By the definition of D , we have $A_{MN_1}^\dagger AD = D$, so by (3.35) and (3.31) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^*N_1\Sigma &= (D^*N_1 - L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)(D - A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}L) \\ &= D^*N_1D - D^*(A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)^*L - L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger AD + L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}L \\ &= D^*N_1D - D^*L - L^*D + L^*A_{MN_1}^\dagger AN_1^{-1}L = \Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

It follows that

$$R_{M;S(N),\tilde{S}} = I + Y\Delta = I + Y\Sigma^*N_1\Sigma. \quad (3.38)$$

Finally, by the definitions of D and Σ we get

$$D + (I - A_{MN_1}^\dagger A)N_1^{-1}L = \Sigma + N_1^{-1}L. \quad (3.39)$$

Expression (3.33) for Ω follows from (3.29), (3.38) and (3.36). Formula (3.30) for $(A, B)_{MN}^\dagger$ then follows from (3.28) and (3.31). \square

4 Representations for weighted Moore-Penrose inverses of 2×2 partitioned operators

4.1 Non weighted case

Following the line initiated in [7], in this section we study the representations for the (non-weighted) Moore-Penrose inverse A^\dagger of a general 2×2 partitioned operator matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1 \oplus H_2, K_1 \oplus K_2), \quad (4.1)$$

where H_1, H_2, K_1 and K_2 are four Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules, $A_{11} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, K_1)$, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, K_1)$, $A_{21} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, K_2)$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, K_2)$. In the case when A_{11} has a closed range, let $S(A)$ be the Schur complement of A defined by

$$S(A) = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger A_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, K_2). \quad (4.2)$$

4.1.1 Special case

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that A_{11} has a closed range. Then both $F_1(A)^\dagger$ and $F_2(A)^\dagger$ exist, where*

$$F_1(A) = \begin{pmatrix} -A_{11}^\dagger A_{12} \\ I_{H_2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_1 \oplus H_2), \quad (4.3)$$

$$F_2(A) = (-A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger, I_{K_2}) \in \mathcal{L}(K_1 \oplus K_2, K_2). \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, the following equalities hold:

$$(i) \quad F_1(A)^\dagger \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^\dagger A_{11} & A_{11}^\dagger A_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = F_1(A)^\dagger - (0, I_{H_2});$$

$$(ii) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot F_2(A)^\dagger = F_2(A)^\dagger - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By definition we have $F_2(A)F_2(A)^* = I_{K_2} + (A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger)(A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger)^*$, which is invertible, hence by Proposition 1.6 we have

$$F_2(A)^\dagger = F_2(A)^* \cdot (F_2(A)F_2(A)^*)^{-1}. \quad (4.5)$$

It follows from (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_2(A)^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} -(A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger)^* \\ -(A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger)(A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger)^* \end{pmatrix} (F_2(A)F_2(A)^*)^{-1} \\ & = \left[F_2(A)^* - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_2(A)F_2(A)^* \end{pmatrix} \right] (F_2(A)F_2(A)^*)^{-1} = F_2(A)^\dagger - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (i) is similar. □

Theorem 4.2. (cf. [7, Theorem 2]) *Suppose that both A_{11} and $S(A)$ have closed ranges, and*

$$(I_{K_1} - A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger)A_{12} = 0, \quad A_{21}(I_{H_1} - A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Then

$$A^\dagger = X_L(A) \operatorname{diag}(A_{11}^\dagger, 0) X_R(A) + F_1(A) S(A)^g F_2(A), \quad (4.7)$$

where $F_1(A)$ and $F_2(A)$ are defined by (4.3) and (4.4) respectively, and

$$S(A)^g = S(A)_{[F_2(A)F_2(A)^*]^{-1}, F_1(A)^* F_1(A)}^\dagger \in \mathcal{L}(K_2, H_2), \quad (4.8)$$

$$X_L(A) = I_{H_1 \oplus H_2} - F_1(A)[I_{H_2} - S(A)^g S(A)]F_1(A)^\dagger \in \mathcal{L}(H_1 \oplus H_2), \quad (4.9)$$

$$X_R(A) = I_{K_1 \oplus K_2} - F_2(A)^\dagger[I_{K_2} - S(A)S(A)^g]F_2(A) \in \mathcal{L}(K_1 \oplus K_2). \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. It follows from (4.1), (4.3), (4.4) and (4.6) that

$$AF_1(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ S(A) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } F_2(A)A = (0, S(A)), \quad (4.11)$$

which implies that

$$AX_L(A) = A \text{ and } X_R(A)A = A. \quad (4.12)$$

To simplify the notation, let

$$\lambda_1(A) = I_{H_2} - S(A)^g S(A) \text{ and } \lambda_2(A) = I_{K_2} - S(A)S(A)^g. \quad (4.13)$$

Then by (ii) of Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \operatorname{diag}(A_{11}^\dagger, 0) X_R(A) &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} X_R(A) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_2(A)^\dagger \lambda_2(A) F_2(A) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} - F_2(A)^\dagger \lambda_2(A) F_2(A) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} \lambda_2(A) F_2(A). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Furthermore, by the first equality in (4.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} AF_1(A)S(A)^g F_2(A) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} S(A)S(A)^g F_2(A) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} (I_{K_2} - \lambda_2(A)) F_2(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} F_2(A) - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} \lambda_2(A) F_2(A) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -A_{21}A_{11}^\dagger & I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} \lambda_2(A) F_2(A). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Now let Z be the right side of (4.7). Then by the first equality in (4.12), (4.14) and (4.15), we get

$$AZ = \operatorname{diag}(A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger, I_{K_2}) - F_2(A)^* (F_2(A)F_2(A)^*)^{-1} \lambda_2(A) F_2(A), \quad (4.16)$$

which means that $(AZ)^* = AZ$, since by the definitions of $S(A)^g$ and $\lambda_2(A)$ we have

$$\lambda_2(A)^* = (F_2(A)F_2(A)^*)^{-1} \lambda_2(A) (F_2(A)F_2(A)^*).$$

As $\lambda_2(A)(0, S(A)) = 0$, we may combine (4.16) with the second equality in (4.11) to get

$$AZA = \operatorname{diag}(A_{11}A_{11}^\dagger, I_{K_2})A = A.$$

Similarly, as $F_1(A)^\dagger = (F_1(A)^* F_1(A))^{-1} F_1(A)^*$ and

$$\operatorname{diag}(A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}, I_{H_2}) X_L(A) = X_L(A) - \operatorname{diag}(I_{H_1} - A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}, 0),$$

we can prove that

$$ZA = \operatorname{diag}(A_{11}^\dagger A_{11}, I_{H_2}) - F_1(A) \lambda_1(A) (F_1(A)^* F_1(A))^{-1} F_1(A)^*$$

with $(ZA)^* = ZA$ and $ZAZ = Z$, therefore $Z = A^\dagger$. □

Corollary 4.3. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(K_1 \oplus K_2)$ be positive, where $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(K_j, K_i)$ ($i, j = 1, 2$). If both $\mathcal{R}(A_{11})$ and $\mathcal{R}(S(A))$ are closed, then

$$A^\dagger = X_L(A) \operatorname{diag}(A_{11}^\dagger, 0) X_R(A) + F_1(A) S(A)^g F_1(A)^*, \quad (4.17)$$

where $F_1(A)$ is defined by (4.3), $S(A)^g$, $X_L(A)$ and $X_R(A)$ are given respectively as (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) by letting $F_2(A)$ be replaced with $F_1(A)^*$. In addition, a $\{1, 3\}$ -inverse of A can be given by

$$A^{(1,3)} = \operatorname{diag}(A_{11}^\dagger, 0) X_R(A) + F_1(A) S(A)^g F_1(A)^*. \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. Since A is positive, by [13, Corollary 3.5] we have

$$A_{11} \geq 0, \quad A_{12} = A_{11} A_{11}^\dagger A_{12} \text{ and } S(A) \geq 0. \quad (4.19)$$

As $(A_{11}^\dagger)^* = A_{11}^\dagger$, conditions in (4.6) are satisfied. Note that in this case $F_2(A) = (F_1(A))^*$, (4.17) follows from (4.7). Let $A^{(1,3)}$ be the operator given by (4.18). As $A X_L(A) = A$ we have $A A^{(1,3)} = A A^\dagger$, so $A^{(1,3)}$ is a $\{1, 3\}$ -inverse of A . \square

4.1.2 General case

Let

$$E = A A^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{12}^* & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(K_1 \oplus K_2). \quad (4.20)$$

If (A_{11}, A_{12}) has a closed range, then as $E_{11} = (A_{11}, A_{12})(A_{11}, A_{12})^*$, by Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 1.6 we know that E_{11}^\dagger exists such that $(A_{11}, A_{12})^\dagger = (A_{11}, A_{12})^* E_{11}^\dagger$. Let $S(E) = E_{22} - E_{12}^* E_{11}^\dagger E_{12}$ be the Schur complement of E . Assuming further that both A and $S(E)$ have closed ranges, then for any $\{1, 3\}$ -inverse $E^{(1,3)}$ of E , we have $A^\dagger = A^* E^{(1,3)}$. In particular, by (4.18) we have

$$A^\dagger = A^* \cdot \left[\operatorname{diag}(E_{11}^\dagger, 0) X_R(E) + F_1(E) S(E)^g F_1(E)^* \right], \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$F_1(E) = \begin{pmatrix} -E_{11}^\dagger E_{12} \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(K_2, K_1 \oplus K_2), \quad (4.22)$$

$$S(E)^g = S(E)^\dagger_{[F_1(E)^* F_1(E)]^{-1}, F_1(E)^* F_1(E)} \in \mathcal{L}(K_2), \quad (4.23)$$

$$X_R(E) = I_{K_1 \oplus K_2} - (F_1(E)^*)^\dagger (I_{K_2} - S(E) S(E)^g) F_1(E)^* \in \mathcal{L}(K_1 \oplus K_2). \quad (4.24)$$

4.2 The weighted case

Following the line initiated in [11] for 1×2 partitioned operators, in this subsection we provide an approach to the construction of Moore-Penrose inverses of 2×2 partitioned operators from the non-weighted case to the weighted case. A detailed description of our idea can be illustrated as follows.

For any Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module X , and any projection P of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, let $X_1 = PX$ and $X_2 = (I_X - P)X$, and define $\lambda_X : X \rightarrow X_1 \oplus X_2$ by

$$\lambda_X(x) = \begin{pmatrix} Px \\ x - Px \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for any } x \in X. \quad (4.25)$$

Then λ_X is a unitary operator with $\lambda_X^* = \lambda_X^{-1}$, where $\lambda_X^{-1} : X_1 \oplus X_2 \rightarrow X$ is given by

$$\lambda_X^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 + x_2, \text{ for any } x_i \in X_i, i = 1, 2.$$

Now let H_1 and H_2 be two Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules,

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} & N_{12} \\ N_{12}^* & N_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1 \oplus H_2) \quad (4.26)$$

be a positive definite operator, where $N_{11} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1)$, $N_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, H_1)$ and $N_{22} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2)$. Let $S(N) = N_{22} - N_{12}^* N_{11}^{-1} N_{12}$ be the Schur complement of N . Define

$$a = N_{11}^{-1} N_{12}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} I_{H_1} & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X = (H_1 \oplus H_2)_N. \quad (4.27)$$

Then $P^2 = P$ and $NP = P^*N$, so $P^\# = N^{-1}P^*N = P$, which means that $P \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is a projection of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, where X is the weighted space define by (4.27) whose inner-product is given by

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_N = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, N \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \langle x_1, N_{11}x_2 + N_{12}y_2 \rangle + \langle y_1, N_{12}^*x_2 + N_{22}y_2 \rangle$$

for any $x_i \in H_1$ and $y_i \in H_2, i = 1, 2$. By (4.27) we have

$$X_1 = PX = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h_1 + ah_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| h_i \in H_i \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| u \in H_1 \right\}, \quad (4.28)$$

$$X_2 = (I_X - P)X = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -ah_2 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} \middle| h_2 \in H_2 \right\}. \quad (4.29)$$

With the inner products inherited from X , both X_1 and X_2 are Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules. Let $j_{H_1} : (H_1)_{N_{11}} \rightarrow X_1$ and $j_{H_2} : (H_2)_{S(N)} \rightarrow X_2$ be defined by

$$j_{H_1}(h_1) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } j_{H_2}(h_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -ah_2 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for any } h_i \in H_i, i = 1, 2.$$

It is easy to verify that both j_{H_1} and j_{H_2} are unitary operators with

$$j_{H_1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = h_1 \text{ and } j_{H_2}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -ah_2 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = h_2, \text{ for any } h_i \in H_i, i = 1, 2.$$

Let $j_{H_1} \oplus j_{H_2} : (H_1)_{N_{11}} \oplus (H_2)_{S(N)} \rightarrow X_1 \oplus X_2$ be the associated unitary operator defined by

$$(j_{H_1} \oplus j_{H_2}) \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j_{H_1}(h_1) \\ j_{H_2}(h_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ 0 \\ -ah_2 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for any } h_i \in H_i, i = 1, 2.$$

Then clearly, $(j_{H_1} \oplus j_{H_2})^\# = (j_{H_1} \oplus j_{H_2})^{-1} = j_{H_1}^{-1} \oplus j_{H_2}^{-1} = j_{H_1}^\# \oplus j_{H_2}^\#$.

Now suppose that K_1 and K_2 are two additional Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules, and

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{12}^* & M_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(K_1 \oplus K_2) \quad (4.30)$$

is a positive definite operator, where $M_{11} \in \mathcal{L}(K_1)$, $M_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(K_2, K_1)$ and $M_{22} \in \mathcal{L}(K_2)$. Let $S(M) = M_{22} - M_{12}^* M_{11}^{-1} M_{12}$ be the Schur complement of M , and define

$$b = M_{11}^{-1} M_{12}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} I_{K_1} & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = (K_1 \oplus K_2)_M. \quad (4.31)$$

Similarly, define $Y_1 = QY, Y_2 = (I_Y - Q)Y, \lambda_Y : Y \rightarrow Y_1 \oplus Y_2, j_{K_1} : (K_1)_{M_{11}} \rightarrow Y_1$ and $j_{K_2} : (K_2)_{S(M)} \rightarrow Y_2$.

With the notation as above and suppose further that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1 \oplus H_2, K_1 \oplus K_2),$$

where $A_{11} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, K_1), A_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, K_1), A_{21} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, K_2)$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{L}(H_2, K_2)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (H_1)_{N_{11}} \oplus (H_2)_{S(N)} & \xrightarrow{j_{H_1} \oplus j_{H_2}} X_1 \oplus X_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_X^{-1}} X = (H_1 \oplus H_2)_N \\ B \downarrow & & \downarrow A \\ (K_1)_{M_{11}} \oplus (K_2)_{S(M)} & \xrightarrow{j_{K_1} \oplus j_{K_2}} Y_1 \oplus Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Y^{-1}} Y = (K_1 \oplus K_2)_M \end{array}$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} = (j_{K_1}^{-1} \oplus j_{K_2}^{-1}) \circ \lambda_Y \circ A \circ \lambda_X^{-1} \circ (j_{H_1} \oplus j_{H_2}), \quad (4.32)$$

with

$$B_{11} = A_{11} + M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} A_{21}, \quad (4.33)$$

$$B_{12} = A_{12} + M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} A_{22} - A_{11} N_{11}^{-1} N_{12} - M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} A_{21} N_{11}^{-1} N_{12}, \quad (4.34)$$

$$B_{21} = A_{21}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$B_{22} = A_{22} - A_{21} N_{11}^{-1} N_{12}. \quad (4.36)$$

Since $\lambda_X, \lambda_Y, j_{H_1} \oplus j_{H_2}$ and $j_{K_1} \oplus j_{K_2}$ are all unitary operators, by (4.32) we get

$$A_{MN}^\dagger = \lambda_X^{-1} \circ (j_{H_1} \oplus j_{H_2}) \circ B_{\text{diag}(M_{11}, S(M)), \text{diag}(N_{11}, S(N))}^\dagger \circ (j_{K_1}^{-1} \oplus j_{K_2}^{-1}) \circ \lambda_Y. \quad (4.37)$$

So if we let

$$B_{\text{diag}(M_{11}, S(M)), \text{diag}(N_{11}, S(N))}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} (B^\dagger)_{11} & (B^\dagger)_{12} \\ (B^\dagger)_{21} & (B^\dagger)_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (B^\dagger)_{11} &\in \mathcal{L}((K_1)_{M_{11}}, (H_1)_{N_{11}}), & (B^\dagger)_{12} &\in \mathcal{L}((K_2)_{S(M)}, (H_1)_{N_{11}}), \\ (B^\dagger)_{21} &\in \mathcal{L}((K_1)_{M_{11}}, (H_2)_{S(N)}), & (B^\dagger)_{22} &\in \mathcal{L}((K_2)_{S(M)}, (H_2)_{S(N)}), \end{aligned}$$

then by (4.37) we conclude that $A_{MN}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} (A_{MN}^\dagger)_{11} & (A_{MN}^\dagger)_{12} \\ (A_{MN}^\dagger)_{21} & (A_{MN}^\dagger)_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ with $(A_{MN}^\dagger)_{11} \in \mathcal{L}(K_1, H_1)$, $(A_{MN}^\dagger)_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(K_2, H_1)$, $(A_{MN}^\dagger)_{21} \in \mathcal{L}(K_1, H_2)$ and $(A_{MN}^\dagger)_{22} \in \mathcal{L}(K_2, H_2)$, such that

$$(A_{MN}^\dagger)_{11} = (B^\dagger)_{11} - N_{11}^{-1} N_{12} (B^\dagger)_{21}, \quad (4.38)$$

$$(A_{MN}^\dagger)_{12} = (B^\dagger)_{11} M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} + (B^\dagger)_{12} - N_{11}^{-1} N_{12} (B^\dagger)_{21} M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} - N_{11}^{-1} N_{12} (B^\dagger)_{22}, \quad (4.39)$$

$$(A_{MN}^\dagger)_{21} = (B^\dagger)_{21}, \quad (4.40)$$

$$(A_{MN}^\dagger)_{22} = (B^\dagger)_{21} M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} + (B^\dagger)_{22}. \quad (4.41)$$

Note that $(H_1)_{N_{11}}, (H_2)_{S(N)}, (K_1)_{M_{11}}$ and $(K_2)_{S(M)}$ are all Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules, the Moore-Penrose inverse of $B_{11} \in \mathcal{L}((H_1)_{N_{11}}, (K_1)_{M_{11}})$ equals $(B_{11})_{M_{11}, N_{11}}^\dagger$, and the adjoint operator $B_{11}^\#$ of $B_{11} \in \mathcal{L}((H_1)_{N_{11}}, (K_1)_{M_{11}})$ equals $N_{11}^{-1} B_{11}^* M_{11} \in \mathcal{L}(K_1, H_1)$. Since formula (4.21) is valid for any Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module operators, we may use this formula to get a concrete expression for $B_{\text{diag}(M_{11}, S(M)), \text{diag}(N_{11}, S(N))}^\dagger$, and then obtain an expression for A_{MN}^\dagger by (4.38)–(4.41).

5 A numerical example

Example 5.1. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $N = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$

with

$$A_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, A_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $M_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $N_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $S(M) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $S(N) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. By (4.33)–(4.36) we have

$$B_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, B_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{3} & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the matrix $B = (B_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$, regarded as an element of

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}((H_1)_{N_{11}} \oplus (H_2)_{S(N)}, (K_1)_{M_{11}} \oplus (K_2)_{S(M)}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}\left((H_1 \oplus H_2)_{\text{diag}(N_{11}, S(N))}, (K_1 \oplus K_2)_{\text{diag}(M_{11}, S(M))}\right), \end{aligned}$$

whose conjugate $B^\#$ is given by

$$B^\# = \text{diag}(N_{11}, S(N))^{-1} \cdot B^* \cdot \text{diag}(M_{11}, S(M)) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $E = BB^\# = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{12}^* & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}((K_1)_{M_{11}} \oplus (K_2)_{S(M)})$, where

$$E_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 \end{pmatrix}, E_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } E_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By direct computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{11})_{M_{11}, M_{11}}^\dagger &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{12} \end{pmatrix}, F_1(E) = \begin{pmatrix} -(E_{11})_{M_{11}, M_{11}}^\dagger E_{12} \\ I_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ F_1(E)^\# &= S(M)^{-1} \cdot F_1(E)^* \cdot \text{diag}(M_{11}, S(M)) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ F_1(E)^\# \cdot F_1(E) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{47}{36} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S(E) = E_{22} - E_{21} \cdot (E_{11})_{M_{11}, M_{11}}^\dagger \cdot E_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Z_1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S(M)F_1(E)^\# F_1(E) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{47}{72} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Z_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S(M)(F_1(E)^\# F_1(E))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{18}{47} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $((K_2)_{S(M)})_{F_1(E)^\# F_1(E)} = (K_2)_{Z_1}$ and $((K_2)_{S(M)})_{(F_1(E)^\# F_1(E))^{-1}} = (K_2)_{Z_2}$, so by (4.23) we have

$$S(E)^g = S(E)_{Z_2, Z_1}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{7} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}((K_1)_{M_{11}}, (K_2)_{S(M)})$ and $Z_3 = \text{diag}(M_{11}, S(M))$. As

$$F_1(E)^\# = (T, I_{K_2}) \in \mathcal{L}((K_1)_{M_{11}} \oplus (K_2)_{S(M)}, (K_2)_{S(M)}) = \mathcal{L}((K_1 \oplus K_2)_{Z_3}, (K_2)_{S(M)}),$$

if we replace $H_1, H_2, H_3, A, B, M, N_1, L$ and N_2 with $K_1, K_2, K_2, T, I_{K_2}, S(M), M_{11}, 0$ and $S(M)$ respectively, then we may apply Theorem 3.3 to get

$$(F_1(E)^\#)_{S(M), Z_3}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} T_{S(M), M_{11}}^\dagger - D\tilde{U} \\ \tilde{U} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D &= T_{S(M), M_{11}}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{9}{11} & 0 \\ -\frac{6}{11} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{S} = S(M) + D^* M_{11} D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{47}{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ C &= I_{K_2} - T T_{S(M), M_{11}}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_{S(M), \tilde{S}}^\dagger = C, \\ \tilde{U} &= C_{S(M), \tilde{S}}^\dagger + (I_{K_2} - C_{S(M), \tilde{S}}^\dagger C) (\tilde{S})^{-1} D^* M_{11} T_{S(M), M_{11}}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{36}{47} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(F_1(E)^\#)^\dagger_{S(M), Z_3} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{9}{47} & 0 \\ -\frac{6}{47} & 0 \\ \frac{36}{47} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from (4.24) that

$$X_R(E) = I_{K_1 \oplus K_2} - (F_1(E)^\#)^\dagger_{S(M), Z_3} (I_{K_2} - S(E)S(E)^g) F_1(E)^\# = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 0),$$

hence by (4.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & B^\dagger_{\text{diag}(M_{11}, S(M)), \text{diag}(N_{11}, S(N))} \\ &= B^\# \cdot \left[\text{diag} \left((E_{11})^\dagger_{M_{11}, M_{11}}, 0 \right) X_R(E) + F_1(E) S(E)^g F_1(E)^\# \right] = \begin{pmatrix} (B^\dagger)_{11} & (B^\dagger)_{12} \\ (B^\dagger)_{21} & (B^\dagger)_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (B^\dagger)_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{7} & \frac{1}{42} \\ -\frac{3}{7} & \frac{1}{42} \end{pmatrix}, & (B^\dagger)_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{14} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{14} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (B^\dagger)_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{9}{14} & \frac{13}{28} \\ -\frac{3}{14} & \frac{5}{28} \end{pmatrix}, & (B^\dagger)_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{9}{14} & 0 \\ \frac{3}{14} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.38)–(4.41) that

$$\begin{aligned} (A^\dagger_{MN})_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{7} & -\frac{2}{7} \\ -\frac{3}{14} & \frac{5}{28} \end{pmatrix}, & (A^\dagger_{MN})_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{7} & 0 \\ -\frac{11}{28} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (A^\dagger_{MN})_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{9}{14} & \frac{13}{28} \\ -\frac{3}{14} & \frac{5}{28} \end{pmatrix}, & (A^\dagger_{MN})_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{9}{28} & 0 \\ \frac{3}{28} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$A^\dagger_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{7} & -\frac{2}{7} & \frac{3}{7} & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{14} & \frac{5}{28} & -\frac{11}{28} & 0 \\ \frac{9}{14} & \frac{13}{28} & -\frac{9}{28} & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{14} & \frac{5}{28} & \frac{3}{28} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Professor Richard A. Brualdi for his help, and the referee for his/her very useful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Y. Chen, Using the defining equation of the weighted Moore-Penrose inverse A_{MN}^\dagger to deduce the explicit expression of $[A, B]_{MN}^\dagger$ (Chinese), J. of Nanjing Normal Univ. (Natural Science) 27 (4) (2004) 6–10.
- [2] R. E. Cline, Representations for the generalized inverse of a partitioned matrix, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 12 (1964) 588–600.
- [3] R. E. Hartwig, Singular value decomposition and the Moore-Penrose inverse of bordered matrices, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 31 (1976) 31–41.
- [4] E. C. Lance, Hilbert C^* -modules—A toolkit for operator algebraists, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [5] C. D. Meyer, The Moore-Penrose inverse of a bordered matrix, Linear Algebra Appl. 5 (1972) 375–382.
- [6] J. Miao, Representations for the weighted Moore-Penrose inverse of a partitioned matrix, J. Comput. Math. 7 (1989) 321–323.
- [7] J. Miao, General expressions for the Moore-Penrose inverse of a 2×2 block matrix, Linear Algebra Appl. 151 (1991) 1–15.
- [8] L. Mihalyffy, An alternative representation of the generalized inverse of partitioned matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 4 (1971) 95–100.
- [9] G. Wang, Y. Wei and S. Qiao, Generalized inverses: theory and computations, Science Press, Beijing/New York, 2004.
- [10] G. Wang and B. Zheng, The weighted generalized inverses of a partitioned matrix, Appl. Math. Comput. 155 (2004) 221–233.
- [11] Q. Xu, Moore-Penrose inverses of partitioned adjointable operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (2009) 2929–2942.
- [12] Q. Xu, X. Hu and Y. Wei, Perturbation estimations for weighted pseudoinverses, preprint.
- [13] Q. Xu and L. Sheng, Positive semi-definite matrices of adjointable operators on Hilbert C^* -modules, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008) 992–1000.